









































## References

- ทัศนีย์ ทานตวนิช. (2558). ข้อผิดพลาดในการเขียนเชิงวิชาการของนิสิตสาขาวิชาภาษาไทย มหาวิทยาลัยบูรพา. *วารสารวิชาการมนุษยศาสตร์และสังคมศาสตร์*, 23(43), 1-29.
- Baynham, M. (1995). *Literacy practices*. London: Continuum.
- Belcher, D. (1994). The Apprenticeship approach to advanced academic literacy: Graduate students and their mentors. *English for Specific Purposes*, 13(1), 23-34.
- Biber, D.; Gray, B. (2011). Should we use characteristics of conversation to measure conversational fluency in L2 writing development? *TESOL Quarterly*, 45(1), 5-33.
- Bush D. (1997). Writing at university: What faculty require. *EA Journal*, 13, 16-28.
- Chin, P., Koizumi, Y., Reid, S., Wray, S. & Yamazaki, Y. (2012). *Academic writing skills: students' book 1*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Chinnawongs, S. (2001) In search of an optimal writing feedback strategy. *PASAA*, 31, 27-39.
- Christie, F. (1990). (Ed). *Literacy for a changing world*. Hawthorn, Vic: Australian Council for Educational Research.
- Foley, J. (2005). English...in Thailand. *RELC Journal*, 36(2), 223-234.
- Freebody, P., & Luke, A. (1990). 'Literacies' program: Debates and demands in cultural context. *Prospect*, 5(3), 7-16.
- Hall, N. (1987). *The emergence of literacy*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Hammond, J., Burns, A., Joyce, H., Brosnan, D. & Gerot, L. (1992). *English for specific purposes: A handbook for teachers of adult literacy*. Sydney: NCELTR.
- Hedge, T. (1988). *Writing*. Oxford: O.U.P.
- Horowitz, D. (1986). What professors actually require: Academic tasks for the ESL classroom. *TESOL Quarterly*, 20 (3), 445-482.

- Jacobs, H. L., Zinkgraf, S. A., Wormuth, D. R., Hartfiel, V. F., & Hughey, J. B. (1981). *Testing ESL composition: A practical approach*. Rowley, MA: Newbury House.
- Lemke, J.L. (1989). *Using language in the classroom* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Moore, S. (2007). Exploring accounting lecturer perceptions of 'good' and 'poor' NESB student writing. *University of Sydney Papers in TESOL*, 2, 61-82.
- Padgate, W. (2008). Beliefs and opinions about English writing of students at a Thai university. *PASAA*, 42, 31-54.
- Piriyasilpa, Y. (2012). Teaching "periodicity" in an EFL writing class to help students develop ideas from paragraph to text: A classroom case study. *Journal of Linguistics and the Human Sciences*, 8(1), 91-121.
- Piriyasilpa, Y. (2014). A survey of English language needs for industrial sectors in the upper Isan region of Thailand. *Sripathum Chonburi Journal*, 11(2), 9-16.
- Piriyasilpa, Y. (2015). Teachers' perceptions of desirable characteristics in Thai student essay writing: A case study. *The second international VietTESOL conference: Transforming English language education in the era of globalisation*, 27 November, 2015.
- Rayupsri, K. & Kongpetch, S. (2014). Implementation of the process-genre approach in an English as a foreign language classroom in Thailand: A case study. *RJES*, 1(2), 32-53.
- Tardy, C.M. (2009). *Building genre knowledge*. West Lafayette, Indiana: Parlor Press.
- Thomson, E. And Droga, L. (2012). *Effective academic writing: An essay-writing workbook for school and university*. Putney, NSW: Phoenix Education Pty Ltd.

- Stevens, B. (2005). What communication skills do employers want? Silicon Valley recruiters respond. *Journal of Employment Counseling*, 42.
- Swales, J. (1990). *Genre analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Swales, J. (2011). The concept of discourse. In E., Wardle & D., Downs (eds.). *Writing about writing: A college reader*. (pp. 466-478).
- Syananondh, K. & Padgate, W. (2005) Teacher intervention during the writing process: An alternative to providing teacher feedback on EFL academic writing in large classes. *PASAA*, 36, 67-87.
- Watcharapunyawong, S. & Usaha, S. (2013). Thai EFL students' writing errors in different text types: The interference of the first language. *English Language Teaching*, 6(1), 67-78.

## Appendix A Personal Details of the Teachers

#	Name	Gender	Nationality	Level of education	Experience in teaching Thai students	Experience in teaching writing courses
1	T1	F	Thai	MA	3 years	1 year (English essay writing) 1 year (English report writing) 1 year (Paragraph writing)
2	T2	F	Filipino	BA	2 years	2 years (Business letter writing)
3	T3	F	Thai	MA	38 years	12 years (Paragraph writing)
4	T4	M	British	MA	14 years	12 years (Writing for daily life, Business letter writing)
5	T5	M	British	MA	12 years	2 years (English essay writing)
6	T6	F	Thai	MA	20 years	3 years (Paragraph writing)
7	T7	M	British	MA	6 years	3 years (Writing for daily life)
8	T8	F	Thai	PhD	20 years	1 semester (English essay writing) 2 semesters (Paragraph writing)
9	T9	M	Dutch	MA	12 years	10 years (Writing for daily life, Writing for business purposes)
10	T10	F	Thai	PhD	16 years	16 years (English essay writing)

## Appendix B Sample of Student Writing

S15

It is better for children to grow up in countryside than in a big city. Do you agree or disagree? Why? Modern and comfortable lifestyles are needed by most of people. Many parents wish their children to live in a good place with modernity and convenience. The big city is a good place that has many interesting things. So, I disagree with this idea, growing up children in city is better because of the following reasons, the big city can be a better place with modern educations, healthcare systems, internet networks and social and environment.

First of all, modern educations, in the city offers better education compared to the countryside. The children who grow up in the big city have a better choice for education because there are more schools, colleges, and universities in the big city than the countryside. These schools bring more experience and knowledge with those in the countryside.

Second, health care systems, there are a lot of public and private hospitals in the city. Urban people can easily bring their children to the best hospital for checking their health. In contrast, in countryside, rural people are very disadvantage about this case because there are a few hospitals which are very far from their houses. Therefore, if their children were sick, they would have to spend about 45 minutes to go to hospital, which is always full of patients.

Third, internet networks, internet is the important tool for research in schools. Children who live in the city access to media easily, they can know a lot of things and can connect to their friends to exchange their knowledge by social networks. While children who live in countryside do not have internet, if they want to connect internet, they must spend a lot of time to are able to use internet.

The last, social and environment, children in the city can meet people of different races, cultures and backgrounds, these will not only help children n learning more about people, but also to improve their communication skills as well. This way, these children will grow up to be good speakers who will face no problems in terms of communication in the near future. However, in countryside, the children would familiar with only the same type of people who are similar to them.

To sum up, I think not only education is one of the most important parts of growing children but also better health care systems, better internet networks and social and environment are important. Children who live in big cities have more advantages than children in countryside. So, it would be better for children to grow up in the big city rather than the countryside.